#### Background

**Osteoarthritis (OA) is a chronic degenerative** condition present in 43% of Veterans using VA healthcare services. Pedometry and 30-s Chair Stand Test are validated objective indices of function. Selfreport questionnaires (Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis **Outcome Score (KOOS) and Lower Activity Extremity** Activity Scale (LEAS) are validated measures of function and response to OA treatments. One study (Frimpong et al., 2020) correlating KOOS to activity after total knee arthroplasty (TKA) found no correlation between activity level and pedometer steps after TKA. Saleh et al. (1994) correlated the LEAS to activity level after TKA as well which conversely showed a significant correlation between the LEAS and pedometer outcomes. To our knowledge this is the first study investigating subjective functional correlations between questionnaires and objective reports of function in the Veteran population with knee OA. We hypothesized linear relationships among pain, selfreported function, and objective functional outcome measures, perhaps similar to other studies with non-Veteran patients monitored after a knee replacement surgery.

## Methods

Ambulatory Veterans between the ages of 25 and 75 years with painful knee OA were recruited to participate in this prospective cross-sectional study. The convenience sample included 25 Veterans with knee OA in need of hyaluronic acid injections. No financial incentive was provided; participation was voluntary. Major medical comorbidities and those with active rheumatic conditions and ongoing spinal stenosis were excluded. Patients were provided a pedometer and instructed to wear it daily for up to a week. After this time of usual activity, study

Agnes Wallbom, MD, Anne Nastasi, MD, Alberto Miranda, MD Greater Los Angeles VA Healthcare System, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Los Angeles, California

#### Results

### Table 1. Demographics of the sample of 25 Veteran patients with knee OA

Age (years) **Body Mass Index (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)** Years of Pain

Smoking Use of Topical NSAIDs Use of Opioids

Use of Bracing

### Table 2. Correlations Among Functional and **Subjective Measures**

	Spearman Rho (r-value)	P-Value	(R-value) <sup>2</sup>	
KOOS Overall				
LEAS	-0.515	<mark>0.008</mark>	.265	
Numeric Pain	0.517	<mark>0.008</mark>	.267	
Rating Scale				
Pedometer Steps	-0.310	0.171		
30s CST	-0.727	<mark>0.000</mark>	.529	
LEAS				
Numeric Pain	-0.559	<mark>0.004</mark>	.312	
Rating Scale				
Pedometer Steps	0.322	0.154		
30s CST	0.413	<mark>0.040</mark>	.170	
KOOS Overall	-0.515	<mark>0.008</mark>	.265	
30-s Chair Stand Test				
Numeric Pain	-0.508	<mark>0.010</mark>	.258	
Rating Scale				
Pedometer Steps	0.351	0.119		
LEAS	0.413	<mark>0.040</mark>	.170	
KOOS Overall	-0.727	<mark>0.000</mark>	.529	

Mean ± SD
62.56 ± 11.343
32.18 ± 6.934
19.4 ± 12.423
% with History of
24%
44%
16%
52%

- pain rating scale.

- made.

# **Clinical Significance**





#### Discussion

 The study demonstrated statistically significant but overall weak linear correlations among the 30-s **Chair Stand Test objective measure, KOOS** questionnaire, LEAS questionnaire, and numeric

 No significant correlation was found between the pedometer readings, Numeric Pain Rating Scale, overall self-reported functional questionnaires and objective functional outcome measures.

• Some reasons for this would possibly be due to the relatively small sample size. It must also be noted that several patients endorsed overall decreased activity due to the current COVID-19 pandemic potentially limiting pedometer data. Additionally, the poor user-friendliness of the pedometer may have influenced adherence to use. Patients may need more instruction and incentive to be adherent with the pedometer protocol.

Data collection for this study is ongoing and more data are needed before further conclusions can be

• The results of this study may further validate the use of the KOOS and LEAS questionnaires and pedometer to measure function objectively.

 Our results thus far suggest that the rapidly administered LEAS questionnaire can as effectively extract valuable information regarding function as the validated and widely used KOOS questionnaire. This suggests that the LEAS questionnaire may represent a more practical and rapid alternative to assessing function.