

Shouldering the Pain: The Relationship of Demographic and Socioeconomic Factors to Incident Shoulder Pain

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

G.R. Malik, MD^{1,2}, M. Hayes, MD^{1,2}, S.G. Guillaume, MD^{1,2}, K.T. Ozment, MD^{1,2}, P.P. Deo, MD^{1,2}, P. Jayabalan, MD, PhD^{1,2} ¹Shirley Ryan Ability Lab, Chicago, IL; ²McGaw Medical Center of Northwestern University, Chicago, IL

Background

- Shoulder pain: 2nd most common musculoskeletal (MSK) complaint in general practice
- 18% of work absenteeism and sickness
- Increased functional impairment levels associated with shoulder disorders and poorer long-term outcomes
- Prevalence of **6.9-34% for shoulder injuries** in populations over 70 years old
- Positive correlation between socioeconomic status and better health outcomes overall for patients
- Studies have endorsed the significant effects of education, socioeconomics, employment, and marital status on both physical and psychologic well-being

Objectives

 To assess the relationship between demographic, psychosocial, and socioeconomic factors and incident shoulder pain in a large cohort of individuals with varying shoulder pathologies.

Hypothesis

 We hypothesized that various demographic, psychosocial, and socioeconomic characteristics would correlate with incident shoulder pain scores in patients with various shoulder pathologies

Design

- Retrospective chart review
- outpatient musculoskeletal clinic
- 389 subjects with incident shoulder pain between 2013-2019
- Demographic and socioeconomic factors including age, sex, BMI, level of education, marital status, the presence of anxiety/depression, patients' insurance status, and per capita income (generated via their zip code) were investigated
- Incident pain scores were rated using the numeric pain rating scale (NPRS, 0-10)

Results

	Subject Characteristics	
Age	<50	31%
	50-64	50%
	65-74	12%
	>75	7%
BMI	Underweight (<18.5)	1%
	Normal (18.5-25)	30%
	Overweight (25-30)	36%
	Obese (>30)	32%
Marital Status	Married	51%
	Divorced	8%
	Widowed	10%
	Single	23%
	Unknown	8%
Physical Activity	None	19%
	Structured	22%
	Unstructured	59%
Household Income	<\$60,000	28%
	\$60,000-\$85,999	48%
	\$86,000-\$102,999	14%
	>\$103,000	11%
Employment	Full-Time	36%
	Part-Time	6%
	Retired	32%
	Not-Employed	15%
	Unknown	12%
Shoulder Diagnosis	Glenohumeral Osteoarthritis	27%
	Acromioclavicular Osteoarthritis	6%
	Adhesive Capsulitis	16%
	Subacromial Impingement	14%
	Rotator Cuff Tear	21%
	Other	17%
Taking Pain Medications	No	62%
	Yes	38%

			Correlation with Pain	
Category	<u>Variable</u>	# of subjects (%)	<u>Score</u>	P value
Sex	Male	161 (41.4%)	5.70	0.039
	Female	228 (58.6%)	6.21	
Alcohol	Number of glasses per day (0-2 median)	1	-0.14	0.006
Race	Caucasian	247 (70.6%)	5.76	0.002
	Black/African American	39 (11.1%)	7.18	
	Other	64 (18.3%)	6.26	
Insurance	Commercial	199 (51.7%)	5.72	0.006
	Medicare	149 (38.7%)	6.17	
	Other	37 (9.6%)	7.03	
Income	Mean	\$84191.23	-0.12	0.02

Multivariate Analysis

	Estimated Change	
Category	in Pain Score	P value
Alcohol	-0.08634	0.0403
Race: African		
American	0.98258	0.0341
Insurance: Not		
Medicare	1.01596	0.0346

Discussion

- There are many potential pain generators in the shoulder
- Variables such as sex, race, household income, and insurance can affect one's perception of incident shoulder pain
- While this study was limited by lack of racial diversity and predominately elderly population, we believe the findings are representative of the general public
- Understanding how these factors impact a patient's perception of pain may in turn improve communication between medical practitioners and their patients, as well as improve perceived efficacy of our overall treatments

Conclusion

- Psychosocial, socioeconomic, and demographic factors do have a significant association to the perceived severity of incident shoulder pain
- Further delineation of modifiable factors may be beneficial for the physician managing these shoulder pathologies

Acknowledgments

 We would like to thank the Shirley Ryan Ability Lab family including the therapist, nursing, medical teams, as well as the patients.

care. Rheumatology 2005; 44: 8005.

- 1. Ostor AJ, Richards CA, Prevost AT, Speed CA, Hazleman BL. Diagnosis and relation to general health of shoulder disorders presenting to primary
- 2. M. Urwin, D. Symmons, T. Allison, T. Brammah, H. Busby, M. Roxby, A. Simmons, G. Williams. Estimating the burden of musculoskeletal disorders in the community: the comparative prevalence of symptoms at different anatomical sites, and the relation to social deprivation. Ann Rheum Dis, 57 (1998), pp. 649-
- 3. Bartolozzi A, Andreychik D, Ahmad S. Determinants of outcomes in the treatment of rotator cuff disease. Clin Orthop Rel Res 1994; 308:90-7. 4. Hossain S, Jacobs LGH, Hashmi R. The long-term effectiveness of steroid injections in primary acromioclavicular joint arthritis: a five-year prospective study.
- 5. Pasquali Ronchetti I, Guerra D, Taparelli F, Boraldi F, Bergamini G, Mori G, Zizzi F, Frizziero L: Morphological analysis of knee synovial membrane biopsies from a randomized controlled clinical study comparing the effects of sodium hyaluronate (Hyalgan) and methylprednisolone acetate (Depomedrol) in
- 6. Pickett, K.E. and M. Pearl, Multilevel analyses of neighbourhood socioeconomic context and health outcomes: A critical review. Journal of Epidemiology
- 7. Vargas-Prada, S. and D. Coggon, Psychological and psychosocial determinants of musculoskeletal pain and associated disability. Best Practice and
- Research: Clinical Rheumatology, 2015. 29(3): p. 374-390.
- 8. Heuts, P.H.T.G., et al., Pain-related fear and daily functioning in patients with osteoarthritis. Pain, 2004. 110(1-2): p. 228-235.

