

Megan Knoetze¹, Faheema Mahomed-Asmail¹, Vinaya Manchaiah^{2,3} and De Wet Swanepoel^{1,4,5}

¹Department of Speech-Language Pathology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa. ²Department of Speech and Hearing Sciences, Lamar University, Beaumont, Texas, United States of America. ³Department of Speech and Hearing, School of Allied Health Sciences, Manipal University, Beaumont, Texas, United States of America. ³Department of Speech and Hearing, School of Allied Health Sciences, Manipal University, Beaumont, Texas, United States of America. ³Department of Speech and Hearing, School of Allied Health Sciences, Manipal University, Beaumont, Texas, United States of America. ³Department of Speech and Hearing, School of Allied Health Sciences, Manipal University, Beaumont, Texas, United States of America. ³Department of Speech and Hearing, School of Sugery, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Australia. ⁵Ear Sciences Institute Australia, Subia co, Australia.

Background

- More than a billion adolescents and young adults are at risk of recreational noise-induced hearing loss (RNIHL) due to unsafe use of personal audio systems (PAS) (World Health Organization, 2015)
- Although preventable, once occurred RNIHL is irreversible and can have a severe negative impact on physical and mental health as well as on academic or work performance (Seidman & Standring, 2010)
- Educational programs alone have not been effective in changing listening behaviors in PAS users (Khan et al., 2018)
- New technologies, such as dbTrack, allow users to monitor personal sound exposure by using sound-level monitoring earphones with an accompanying smartphone application (app) (dbTrack, 2018)

Objectives

Phase 1 Phase 2 To determine accuracy and reliability of dbTrack (Westone) sound-level monitoring earphones feedback and hearing health information on listening behaviors



Figure 1. dbTrack (Westone) technology

Methods

Phase 1: Sound-level monitoring earphone accuracy and reliability

- Accuracy was determined by comparing earphone measurements to sound level meter measurements
- Intra-device reliability was determined by comparing earphone measurements during test-retest conditions
- Within-subject reliability was determined by comparing in-earsound levels of 19 participants measured by the earphones during test-retest conditions

Phase 2: Effect of sound-level monitoring earphones and app on listening behaviors

- A single-group pretest-posttest design was utilized
- 40 participants completed an online survey regarding sound exposure through PAS
- Thereafter, participants utilized the sound-level monitoring earphones with the accompanying dbTrack app for 4 weeks
- During the first 2 weeks, the app's smartphone feedback feature was disabled (pretest)
- During the last 2 weeks, participants received a brief guide on hearing health information and the smartphone feedback was automatically enabled on the app (posttest)
- Participants completed a second online survey
- Average daily intensities, durations and sound dosages measured during pre- and posttest conditions were compared

 References

 1. dBTrack. (2018). dBTrack. https://www.dbtrack.com/

 2. Khan, K. M., Bielko, S. L., & McCullagh, M. C. (2018). Efficacy of hearing conservation education

 programs for youth and young adults: A systematic review. BMC Public

 Health, 18(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889018-6198-7

 3. Seidman, M. D., & Standring, R. T. (2010). Noise and Quality of Life. International Journal of

 Environmental Research and Public Health, 7(10), 3730–3738. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph7103730

 4. World Health Organization. (2015). 1.1 billion people at risk of hearing loss. World

 Health Organization. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/



Results Phase 1

- dbTrack earphone measurements were within 1 dB when compared to sound level meter measurements
- Earphones were also within 1 dB in repeated measures across earphones and across participants

Phase 2

Table 1. Average daily intensity, duration and sound dose measured by the sound-level monitoring earphones during pretest and posttest conditions



Pretest Difference Effect size Posttest 59.6 (18.6) 51 (21.4) 8.7 (18.3) 0.474^a Intensity Mean (SD) dBA dBA dBA 65.6 (52.4) 58 (57.6) min 7.6 (46.6) 0.163^ª Duration Mean (SD) min min 5912.7 1784.3 4128.4 -0.373^t Sound dose (24965.5)% Mean (SD) (24479.9)% (6845.9)%

'Significant difference (p < 0.05; Paired-samples t test) ''Significant difference (p < 0.05; Wilcoxon signed-rank test) "Cohen's d ''r value (Z/VN)

- r value (Z/√N)
- Post-study survey revealed that 95% were motivated by die hearing health information and smartphone feedback to change their listening behavior
- 90% indicated that the smartphone feedback contributed the most

Conclusions

- Sound-level monitoring earphones, like dbTrack, with a calibrated in-ear microphone can reliably and accurately measure PAS sound exposure
- Smartphone feedback on sound exposure measured by sound-level monitoring earphones with hearing health information can:
- significantly reduce listening intensity and sound dose
- potentially promote safe listening behavior in young adults and reduce the risk of acquiring an RNIHL

C Figure 2A-C. Examples of dbTrack app's monitoring screens