Joseph Aguirre MS-IV<sup>1</sup>, Christian Roehmer, MD<sup>2</sup>, Jesse Day, MD<sup>3</sup>, Deepan Kamaraj, MD<sup>4</sup>, Brooklyn Waters<sup>5</sup>, Margaret Pickering<sup>5</sup>, Rory Cooper, PhD<sup>3,4</sup>, Brad E. Dicianno, MD<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lake Erie College of Osteopathic Medicine; <sup>2</sup>Vanderbilt University, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation; <sup>3</sup>University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation; <sup>4</sup>Human Engineering Research Laboratories, VA Pittsburgh Healthcare System; <sup>5</sup>MTech Games

#### INTRODUCTION

- Over 19 million people worldwide use wheelchairs, with approximately 14-30% of wheelchair users currently using electric powered wheelchairs (PWCs)
- Many rehabilitation settings lack the time and personnel to provide adequate training for PWC driving
- Virtual reality (VR) has been explored as a way to provide additional training in the use of PWCs
- Collaborative work being conducted at the Human Engineering Research Laboratories (HERL) and MTech Games has resulted in a validated PWC driving assessment tool that has been translated into a VR platform

### **OBJECTIVES**

Evaluate the impact of using a virtual reality (VR) training system on powered wheelchair driving skills

# Assessing the Effectiveness of Virtual Reality for Power Wheelchair Driving Training

#### **Grant Support:** Promobilia Foundation

<b>MATERIALS &amp; METHODS</b>					
<ul> <li>Recruitment occurred at the inpatient and outpatient rehabilitation facilities at the UPMC Rehabilitation Institute and through community outreach efforts with disability organizations.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Participants were randomized into a VR group or a standard care group</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>The standard training group received four in-person wheelchair skills training sessions by a certified therapist using the Wheelchair Skills Training Program (WSTP) program.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>The VR group received four training sessions using Wheelchair Trainer in Oculus Rift.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>NASA ILX was used to evaluate participants' perception of the Virtual Reality system.</li> <li>A Simulator Sickness Questionnaire (SSQ) measured symptoms experienced while using the VR system.</li> </ul> <b>RESULTS</b>					
<ul> <li>A Simul (SSQ) r while us</li> </ul>	ator Sicknes neasured sy sing the VR	ss Questionr mptoms exp system.	naire berienced		
<ul> <li>A Simul (SSQ) r while us</li> <li>Standard</li> </ul>	ator Sicknes neasured sy sing the VR RES	ss Questionr mptoms exp system. ULTS Final	naire becienced		
<ul> <li>A Simul (SSQ) r while us</li> <li>Standard Care Group</li> <li>Darticipant 1</li> </ul>	ator Sicknes neasured sy sing the VR RES Initial PMCDA	s Questionr mptoms exp system. ULTS Final PMCDA	<section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header>		
<ul> <li>A Simul (SSQ) r while us</li> <li>Standard Care Group</li> <li>Participant 1</li> </ul>	ator Sickness neasured sy ing the VR RES Initial PMCDA	<section-header><section-header>s Questions mptoms exp system. ULTS Final PMCDA 65</section-header></section-header>	<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>		
<ul> <li>A Simul (SSQ) r while us</li> <li>Standard Care Group</li> <li>Participant 1</li> <li>Participant 2</li> </ul>	ator Sickness neasured sy sing the VR RES Initial PMCDA 57	s Questions mptoms exp system. ULTS Final PMCDA 65 56	<section-header><section-header><text></text></section-header></section-header>		
<ul> <li>A Simul (SSQ) r while us</li> <li>Standard Care Group</li> <li>Participant 1</li> <li>Participant 2</li> <li>Participant 3</li> </ul>	ator Sickness neasured sy sing the VR <b>RES</b> <i>Initial</i> PMCDA 57 47	s Questions mptoms exp system. ULTS Final PMCDA 65 56 61	Sessions Completed432		
<ul> <li>A Simul (SSQ) r while us</li> <li>Standard Care Group</li> <li>Participant 1</li> <li>Participant 2</li> <li>Participant 3</li> <li>Participant 4</li> </ul>	ator Sickness neasured system. RES Initial PMCDA 57 47 55	SS Questions mptoms exp system. ULTS Final PMCDA 65 65 61 61	naire berienced Sessions Completed 4 2		
<ul> <li>A Simul (SSQ) r while us</li> <li>Standard Care Group</li> <li>Participant 1</li> <li>Participant 2</li> <li>Participant 3</li> <li>Participant 4</li> <li>Participant 5</li> </ul>	ator Sickness neasured sy sing the VR <b>RES</b> 101 55 54 51	S Questions mptoms exp system. ULTS Final PMCDA 65 65 65 61 62 62	naire   berienced   Sessions Completed 4 3 4 4		
<ul> <li>A Simul (SSQ) r while us</li> <li>Standard Care Group</li> <li>Participant 1</li> <li>Participant 2</li> <li>Participant 3</li> <li>Participant 4</li> <li>Participant 5</li> <li>Participant 6</li> </ul>	ator Sickness neasured sy sing the VR <b>RES</b> 10111111 PMCDA 57 47 55 54 51 51	SS Questionr mptoms exp system. ULTS Final PMCDA 65 65 65 61 62 62 62	baire   baire </td		
<ul> <li>A Simul (SSQ) rewhile us</li> <li>Standard Care Group</li> <li>Participant 1</li> <li>Participant 2</li> <li>Participant 3</li> <li>Participant 4</li> <li>Participant 5</li> <li>Participant 6</li> </ul>	ator Sickness neasured sy sing the VR <b>RES</b> <b>1</b> 57 47 55 54 51 62 62	SS Questions wptoms exp system. ULTS Final PMCDA 65 65 62 62 62 65 65	Sessions   Completed   4   3   4   4   3   3   3   3   3		
<ul> <li>A Simul (SSQ) r while us</li> <li>Standard Care Group</li> <li>Participant 1</li> <li>Participant 2</li> <li>Participant 3</li> <li>Participant 4</li> <li>Participant 5</li> <li>Participant 6</li> <li>Participant 7</li> </ul>	ator Sickness neasured sy sing the VR RES Initial PMCDA 57 47 55 54 51 51 62 62 61	SS Questions System: ULTS Final PMCDA 65 61 62 62 62 62 63 64	Sessions   Completed   3   2   3   4   3   1		

VR Group	Initial PMCDA	Final PMCDA	Sessions Completed
Participant 1	65	66	4
Participant 2	61	62	1
Participant 3	64	65	3
Participant 4	61	64	1



+/- 37.7

FRUSTRATION

55.0



#### CONCLUSIONS

• VR and standard training resulted in incremental and clinically meaningful improvements in driving skill with each session attended

 Many participants were not able to complete multiple training sessions due to transportation issues for individuals with mobility impairments

• Highlights need for training systems like VR

Future work will evaluate the use of VR in larger samples and as a home-based rehabilitation intervention

## REFERENCES

- 1. International Society of Wheelchair Professionals. http://www.wheelchairnet.org
- 2. Karmarkar AM, Collins DM, Wichman T, et al. Prosthesis and wheelchair use in veterans with lower-limb
- amputation. J Rehabil Res Dev. 2009;46(5):567-576 3. Salatin B, Teodorski E, Ding D, Cooper RA. A survey of outdoor powered wheelchair driving. Paper presented at Annual Conference of RESNA. June 26-30, 2010; Las Vegas, NV.
- 4. Zanca JM, Dijkers MP, Hsieh CH, et al. Group therapy utilization in inpatient spinal cord injury rehabilitation. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation. 2013;94(4 Suppl):S145-153.
- 5. Taylor-Schroeder S, LaBarbera J, McDowell S, et al. The SCIRehab project: treatment time spent in SCI rehabilitation. Physical therapy treatment time during inpatient spinal cord injury rehabilitation. The journal of *spinal cord medicine.* 2011;34(2):149-161
- 6. Nunnerley J, Gupta S, Snell D, King M. Training wheelchair navigation in immersive virtual environments for patients with spinal cord injury - end-user input to design an effective system. *Disability and rehabilitation* Assistive technology. 2017;12(4):417-423.